



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/573,137	09/18/2006	Wataru Ikeda	P36312-02	6947
42212 7590 12/11/2009 PANASONIC PATENT CENTER 1130 CONNECTICUT AVENUE NW, SUITE 1100 WASHINGTON, DC 20036				
EXAMINER DAZENSKI, MARC A				
ART UNIT 2621		PAPER NUMBER		
NOTIFICATION DATE 12/11/2009		DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

kamata.kenji@jp.panasonic.com

ppc@us.panasonic.com

odedrad@us.panasonic.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/573,137	Applicant(s) IKEDA ET AL.	
	Examiner MARC DAZENSKI	Art Unit 2621	

All Participants:

(1) MARC DAZENSKI.

(2) Kerry Culpepper.

Date of Interview: 4 December 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Status of Application: final

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 1:30pm

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
101 rejection to claim 6

Claims discussed:
Claim 6.

Prior art documents discussed:
Tsumagari (US PgPub 2003/0161615)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Explained to attorney that proposed amendment to claim 6 as written would result in a 101 rejection because it is not "tied to" any particular machine or apparatus. Further, I explained to the attorney that there did not seem to be any specific structure in the specification for the claimed "generating" and "recording" steps in the proposed amendment to claim 6, and therefore I could not suggest wording that would place the claim in condition for allowance. Advised the attorney to contact the Applicant and inform them of the lack of support so that they may make a decision regarding canceling or further pursuing the claim. Awaiting Applicant response.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/MARC DAZENSKI/
 Examiner, Art Unit 2621

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)